

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/788,498	MUI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roy M. Punnoose	2877	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Roy M. Punnoose.

(3) _____.

 (2) Attorney Alan Taboada (Reg. No.51,359).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 August 2006
Time: 1:00 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claim 1

Claims discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

none
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner notified Attorney Alan Taboada (Reg, No.51,359) of certain deficiencies in the drawings, specification and claim(s) on August 28, 2006. However, a response to correct all the deficiencies has not been received, which is the subject of this office action..